Praha 2010: Workshop on Geological Aspects of Radon Risk Mapping Tuesday September 24th Earthquakes – Radon studies

Radon in air

Indoor cellar, underground location, basement of house (radon 'collector') Mentioned were these possibilities. Radon measurements in a cellar/basement are too strongly affected by the building itself what makes this method not convenient.

<u>Cave</u>

These measurements need a lot of correction as strong influencing factors that have to be studied previously over long time spans. Only periods of stability can give a signal.

Borehole:

- what criteria to fulfil for a 'good' location?
- close to a fault? active fault? fault intersection?

Preference for active fault (if choice possible)

- what depth?

Depth > 1m to limit atmospheric influences. If possible install a grid of boreholes

- in soil or brittle rock?

Not rock

- what about other gases? CO₂, methane, He,

add H2S, also 14C, today possibility of continuous monitoring of isotopic signature

- what instruments? Grab sampling or continuous measurements? Avoid grab sampling, only continuous measurements

- what sampling interval?

Compromise of the time interval and the counting rates: normall between 10 minutes and 30 minutes

Radon in water

- what criteria to fulfil for a 'good' location?

- **springs**? make sure that no natural underground degassing before sampling
- artesian outflows:
- how deep? As deep as possible

it was pointed out that it is getting more and more difficult to get the permission.

- on/near active faults

active faults if only possible

- earth tides are in the signal – advantage as sensible place for tiny crust deformations

one participant pointed out that this may not be an advantage because closed system, here there was no consensus among the participants

- besides radon, what other parameters to monitor? High resolution temperature, el. conductivity, other gases (what gases?),

Radon alone is not enough as parameter, other parameters have to be monitored continuously with the same or even better time resolution.

- bore holes, no artesian:
- continuous pumping?

One needs flowing water

- if no pumping, observation of water level

Radon air/soil

Is there a difference in the reliability of the radon in air/water approaches?

Radon in water is more promising than in air. In water better possibility to measure other parameters, also ionic content.

In air or in water measuring depends on location and the geology and hydrogeology (one needs good flow conditions, better artesian – see above above above)

What is a 'good' signal? 2σ or more over (lower?) the mean? General opinion last few days

what distinguishes an outlier from a signal?

Neural network technology to simulate measured database - optimization for the location of possible events

Influence of ambient parameters:

- low than ok, no correction
- strictly very low influence
- corrected signal taking into account external known influences

What processes can trigger the radon signal? *In water, based on changing mixing rations*

Should the radon signal be a member of an overall survey system based on data from all possible studies

Long or short signal) short is better for 'prediction'