Estimation of radon prone areas by bivariate classification using ROC analysis

P. Bossew

German Federal Office for Radiation Protection, Berlin, Germany

12th INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP GARRM (on the GEOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF RADON RISK MAPPING) **Prague, Czech Republic, 16-19 Sept 2014**



Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz

Rationale & background

- Indoor Rn: considered a hazardous pollutant already at low concentrations.
- Therefore: regulation. In Europe, 2014: Basic Safety Standards (BSS)
- Among other topics:
 - maximal reference value (RV):
 300 Bq/m³ for residences and workplaces;
 - action plan including delineation of radon prone areas (not using this term)
- Concept not precisely defined
- However defined: how to estimate RPA?

Content

- Concepts of radon prone area (RPA)
- Estimation of RPA, defined through geogenic radon potential (RP), by binary classification
- Examples
- Radon prone geologies

Concepts & definitions - 1

- <u>Geogenic radon potential (RP):</u>
 "What Earth delivers" in terms of Rn.
 Saxony Ministry of Environment: *"The radon potential is the property of soil to release Rn into soil air, and together with it make it available at ground surface."*
- <u>Definitions:</u> various in literature.
 Here: "Neznal RP": RP=C(soil)/(-log₁₀(k)-10)
 C(soil): Soil radon, kBq/m³
 k: permeability, m²
 observed according a defined and QAed protocol.

Concepts & definitions - 2

<u>RPA:</u>

(a) through indoor Rn

- area in which mean C(in)>threshold;
- area in which prob[C(in)>threshold]>threshold' (e.g. prob(C>300)>2%)
- original draft of BSS (2011): "Radon-prone area means a geographic area or administrative region defined on the basis of surveys indicating that the percentage of dwellings expected to exceed the national reference level is significantly higher than in other parts of the country";

Formally (B=country, RV=reference value):

 $U \subset B \text{ is RPA } \text{ if } \text{prob}[C(x) > RV; x \in U] > \alpha \text{ prob}[C(x) > RV; x \in B]$

• (b) <u>geogenic</u> RPA: through <u>RP</u>:

area in which mean or other statistic of the RP>threshold; formally: U is RPA if stat[RP(x): $x \in U$] > RP₀

Instead of RP: other geogenic quantity (proxy of RP) can be used

Estimation of RPA

- <u>Here:</u> geogenic concept of RPA adopted, i.e. based on RP or proxy Z
- <u>Task</u>: Define a threshold Z_0 or RP_0 of the Z
- RP is by itself no radiologically relevant quantity ⇒ link RP₀ to one which is.
 Best candidate of course: indoor Rn
- <u>Hence:</u> find RP₀ which corresponds to a given threshold of indoor Rn....
 "Calibration" of the predictor RP

- Domain B covered with grid; cells e.g. 10 km × 10 km
- Estimate mean Z (or other stat) in each cell.
- Given: indoor Rn (C) data; estimate mean C (or other stat) in each cell
- Classify cells twofold: stat(C in cell)>C₀ yes/no... criterion CRIT stat(Z in cell)>Z₀ yes/no

• Create a truth table:



TP=number of true positives FP=False positive FN=False negative TN=True negative

• Calculate statistics:

True positive rate TPR = TP/(observed positives)=TP/(TP+FN) False positive rate FPR = FP/(observed negatives)=FP/(FP+TN)

Prague2014-pb141117

Plot a graph TPR vs. FPR = "ROC" graph (receiver operating characteristic)



Define a score (loss function, target function) to find the optimal point of the ROC graph; e.g.

- Y-score: the point farthest away from the diagonal
- d01: as close to optimal point (0,1) as possible
- force 1.kind error rate=
 2.kind error rate
 (intersection with 2.diag.)
- force 2.kind error rate ß < 0.2 (e.g.)



Result for Germany; CRIT: E(C)>100 (see ex.1)

- Y-,d01-scores: RP₀=32
- 1.kind=2.kind error rate: $RP_0 = ca. 28$
- 2.kind error rate set to &=0.2: RP₀ = ca. 23.

Extension:

Y etc. are a "metric" on ROCspace. Modify metric such as to assign different weights to x- and y-axis (\Rightarrow different weights to 1. and 2.kind errors) ... gives different RP₀.

So far, we used ordinary Y-score.

Caveats

The result (i.e. the optimal RP_0) depends on two types of decisions:

Political decisions:

- the calibration criterion $\text{CRIT} \leftrightarrow \text{reference}$ value
- relative weight of 1. and 2. kind errors

Technical decisions:

- cell size
- definition of RP
- which score for optimizing the ROC
- how to estimate CRIT and the predictor RP per cell

- ...

Uncertainty:

1. and 2. kind error rates (however adjusted) relatively high. Why? Because of not very high correlation between RP and indoor Rn, since also non-geogenic (i.e. anthropogenic) factors influence indoor Rn.

Intermezzo

- One may ask: Why not use indoor Rn directly?
- Practical answer: In Germany indoor Rn data are not suitable (clustered, representativeness questionable). RP data better → used as predictor
- <u>Theoretical answer:</u> RPA derived from indoor C depends on state of building stock: temporal variability; geogenic RP = constant, independent of anthropogenic factors

Example 1: Germany



Example 2: Europe



predictor map, 50 km × 50 km cells

Prague2014-pb141117

Example 3: Poços de Caldas, Brazil



Radon prone geologies

- Extension of the method: <u>Predictor:</u> geological units (transformed into continuous variable by combinatorial method – see article, subm. JER)
- <u>Given:</u> a number of geological units; separate them optimally into 2 groups to match the calibration criterion CRIT.



Conclusion

- Method is computationally very simple
- But has its caveats!
- Result = threshold of the predictor (RP₀ etc.) the more reliable, the better the correlation between predictor quantity (RP) and calibration quantity (indoor Rn)
- Several "political" and "technical" decisions required
- <u>Missing:</u> uncertainty budget, significance test
- <u>Planned:</u> extension to
 - multinomial classification (several levels, not only RPA yes / no)
 - multivariate predictor (e.g. RP & U-conc. & dose rate)

Prague2014-pb141117