September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic





Soil features and indoor radon concentration prediction: radon in soil gas, pedology, permeability and ²²⁶Ra content

Autors: LARA, E.¹; ROCHA, Z.²; SANTOS, T.O.¹; RIOS, F.J.², OLIVEIRA, A.H.¹

¹ Departamento de Engenharia Nuclear Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) Av. Presidente Antônio Carlos, 6.627 - Pampulha 31270-901 Belo Horizonte, MG

evelise.lara@gmail.com

talitaolsantos@yahoo.com.br heeren@nuclear.ufmg.br ² Centro de Desenvolvimento da Tecnologia Nuclear Comissão Nacional de Energia Nuclear (CDTN/CNEN) Av. Presidente Antônio Carlos, 6.627 - Pampulha 31270-901 Belo Horizonte, MG

> rochaz@cdtn.br help@cdtn.br rios@cdtn.br

> > September 16t^h, 2014

September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

INTRODUCTION

Mapping geogenic radon

 The radon concentration mapping looks for determining the potential risks of this gas inside dwellings and other indoor environments and to assess areas available for new constructions;

 These maps serve as a management tool for authorities assisting them in making decisions on priority areas.

12th INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP GARRM (on the GEOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF RADON RISK MAPPING) September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

Plague, czech Kepublic

INTRODUCTION

Soil Radon Potential "RP" and Soil Radon Index "RI"

 As higher permeability enables the increase the migration of radon in soil gas and its transportation from the soil into the building (Neznal et al, 2004);

 The "RP" allows estimate the radon concentration in soil gas with permeability relationship.

September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

INTRODUCTION

Soil Radon Potential "RP" and Soil Radon Index "RI"

$$RP = \frac{C - C_0}{-\log(P) + \log(P_0)}$$

where "C" is the radon concentration in soil gas (kBq.m-³), and "P" is the permeability of the soil (m²); "C₀" and "P₀" are 1.0 kBq.m⁻³ and 1.0 x 10⁻¹⁰ m², respectively.

- RI" is an index indicating the level of radon release risk from the bedrock, surface material, and/or from soil and <u>depends on the "RP" value</u>.
- "RP" < 10, then "RI" is low; if 10 ≤ "RP" < 35, then "RI" is medium; if 35
 ≤ "RP", then "RI" is high.

Prague, Czech Republic

INTRODUCTION

Geological Radon Potential - GEORP

 The indicator establish the percentage of dwellings located in a given area that exceeds regulators action levels (Talbot et al, 1998);

> "Low" potential: GEORP is below 5% "Moderate" potential: GEORP is 5 - 10% "High" potential: GEORP above 10%

This work used the data relating to the indoor radon concentrations in dwellings of RMBH (Brazil).

The GEORP indicator was calculated based on the percentage of dwellings that exceeds the first action level of the U.S EPA: <u>**148.0 Bq.m**⁻³</u>.

Prague, Czech Republic

- RMBH located in the central-western portion of Minas Gerais, Brazil and comprising 34 cities;
- The soils of RMBH were classified on taxonomic classes (pedologies):





September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

MATERIALS AND METHODS



The radon concentrations in soil gas were determined by using the *Alpha*GUARD[®] detector model PQ2000 PRO, SAPHYMO GmbH, Germany. This detector is an ionization chamber, where a volume of air is ionised by alpha particles.

The soil permeability was determined by using the RADON-JOK® permeability instrument, RADON V.O.S®, Czech Republic.



September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

MATERIALS AND METHODS

- The soil samples were collected about 0.70 meters deep and, after crushed, the samples were put in 0.5 liter sealed Marinelli's (to establish secular radioactive equilibrium between ²²⁶Ra and their decay products);
- The ²²⁶Ra concentration was determined by gamma spectrometry (HPGe).



Prague, Czech Republic

MATERIALS AND METHODS



Indoor radon concentration

- The radon concentrations inside dwellings were determined by using Eletret Ion Chamber - E-PERM electret, Rad Elec, Inc.
- Measurements were performed with the SST (S - Short Term chamber and ST - Short term Eletrect) in the closed condition, for at least two days, according to US.EPA protocol.

September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The radon concentrations in soil gas in RMBH: <u>large variation</u> (different geological settings, physical parameters and climatic factors that influence radon concentrations);

 Pedologies: Red Ultisol, Red Yellow Ultisol, Haplic Cambisol, Red Yellow Latosol and Red Latosol: radon concentration in soil gas ~ 22.0 kBq.m⁻³;

The activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra ranged from **12.4 ± 2.5** to **23.7 ± 3.4 Bq.kg**⁻¹, are in agreement with to mean values for typical soils (UNSCEAR, 2000).

September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

MAIN CONCLUSIONS

- Approximately 40% of the soils measured presented high permeability (10⁻¹¹ m²);
- The Litholic Neosols presented the <u>lowest</u> radon concentrations in soil gas;
- The Perferric Red Latosols presented <u>significant radon concentrations in soil gas</u>. Approximately 53% this areas can be classified as "High Risk", according to the Swedish Criteria;
- Perferric Red Latosols: also presented high indoor radon concentration and the highest "RI", which can be attributed to influence of the permeability on the indoor radon concentration;
- Perferric Red Latosols: the highest ²²⁶Ra content and high GEORP (26.5%), suggesting a positive influence of the radon concentration in soil gas in indoor concentrations.
- The preliminary results can indicate an influence of the iron formations present at Perferric Red Latosols in the retention of uranium minerals;

September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Pedology	Arithmetic Mean [²²² Rn] in the air (Bq.m-³)	Arithmetic Mean [²²² Rn] in soil gas (kBq.m- ³)	N (air)	N (soil)	[²²⁶ Ra] in soil (Bq.kg ⁻¹)	Range of soil permeability (K) (m²)	RP	RI	GEORP (%)	GEORP Classifi- cation
Red Ultisol	142.0 ± 23.0	28.0 ± 3.0	60	14	$\textbf{22.0} \pm \textbf{0,3}$	(10 ⁻¹² - 10 ⁻¹¹)	18,5	MEDIUM	18.0	HIGH
Yellow Red Ultisol	113.0 ± 8.0	24.0 ± 2.0	252	65	24.0 ± 3.0	(10 ⁻¹⁴ - 10 ⁻¹¹)	16.0	MEDIUM	16,5	HIGH
Haplic Cambisol	$\textbf{98.0} \pm \textbf{9,0}$	22.0 ± 6.0	63	15	18.0 ± 3.0	(10 ⁻¹⁴ - 10 ⁻¹¹)	17.0	MEDIUM	16.0	HIGH
Red Latosol	137.0 ± 12.0	14.0 ± 3.0	16	04	$15.0\ \pm 6.0$	(10 ⁻¹¹)	13.0	MEDIUM	37.5	HIGH
Perferric Red Latosol	130.0 ± 17.0	61.0 ± 9.0	68	15	50.0 ± 13.0	(10 ⁻¹⁴ - 10 ⁻¹¹)	49.0	HIGH	26.5	HIGH
Yellow Red Latosol	82.0 ± 7.0	24.0 ± 12.0	20	04	22.0 ± 1.0	(10 ⁻¹² - 10 ⁻¹¹)	15.0	MEDIUM	5.0	MODE- RATE
Litholic Neosol	101.0 ± 11.0	$14.0\pm3,0$	21	05	12.0 ± 3.0	(10 ⁻¹² - 10 ⁻¹¹)	7.5	LOW	19.0	HIGH

September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic



Prague, Czech Republic

MAIN CONCLUSIONS

 The GEORP for all soils (except Yellow Red Latosol) had percentages above 10% of the US.EPA action level;

 The determination of radon concentration in soil gas proved to be a good indicator for predicting the GEORP for RMBH;

 Similarly, the "RP" is also a <u>good indicator of the potential</u> <u>risk of radon!</u>

eptember 16th – 18th (19th), 20 Prague, Czech Republic



September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

SOME REFERENCES...

[1] Åkerblom G (1987) Investigations and mapping of radon risk areas. Geology for Environmental Planning 2: 96-106

[2] Appleton JD (2007) Radon: sources, health risks, and hazard mapping. Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences 36: 85-89

[3] Chen J, Falcomer R, Bergman L, Wierdsma J, Ly J (2009) Correlation of soil radon and permeability with indoor radon potential in Ottawa. Radiation Protection Dosimetry 136: 56-60

[4] Eisenbud M, Gesell T (1997) Environmental Radioactivity: from natural, industrial and military sources. San Diego

[5] Girodo AC (2005) Projeto APA Sul RMBH: estudos do meio físico, mineração. CPRM/EMBRAPA/SEMAD, v. 2, Belo Horizonte

[6] IAEA - International Atomic Energy Agency (2009) "World Distribution of Uranium Deposits (UDEPO) with Uranium Deposit Classification". Proceedings Series, Viena

[7] IBGE - Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (2007) Manuais técnicos em geociências. Manual técnico de pedologia. n. 4, 2. ed. Rio de Janeiro

[8]Içhedef M, Saç M, Camgoz B, Bolca M (2013) Soil gas radon concentrations measurements in terms of great soil groups. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 126: 165-171

[9] Jeon BH, Dempsey B A, Burgos WD, Royer RA (2001) Reactions of ferrous iron with hematite. Colloids and Surfaces: Physicochemical Engineering Aspects 191: 41-55

[10] Matolín M, Koudelová P (2008) Radon in soil gas - investigation and data standardisation at radon reference sites, Czech Republic. Radiation Protection Dosimetry 130: 52-55

[11] Neves LF, Pereira AC (2004) Radioactividade natural e ordenamento do território: o contributo das Ciências da Terra. Geonovas 18: 103-114

September 16th – 18th (19th), 2014 Prague, Czech Republic

Thank you!

evelise.lara@gmail.com



School of Engineering - UFMG - Brazil