GARRM 2023 The optimalization of calibration procedures for field gamma spectrometers

Kamila Johnová kamila.johnova@suro.cz Faculty of Nuclear Science and Physical Engineering, CTU Prague National Radiation Protection Institute, Czech Republic

20.9.2023

Field spectrometry: application and calibration

Model: creation and verification Examples of model application Conclusion Introduction Calibration: principles and procedures Calibration facility Straz pod Ralskem, Czech Republic

Introduction

- Field gamma spectrometry can be complementary method in radon risk mapping.
- Field spectrometers are calibrated using calibration pads, that are designed for single measurement geometry.
- Detailed description of radiation field around these pads can help to expand the options for calibration in existing facilities.

Introduction Calibration: principles and procedures Calibration facility Straz pod Ralskem, Czech Republic

Calibration in field spectrometers

- General principle described in detail in "Radioelement mapping" [IAEA 2010].
- Measurement on 4 calibration standards (pads): 3 with enhanced concentration of K, U, Th and one low activity.
- Original procedure evaluates data in selected windows.
- Alternative methods exist (like Full spectrum analysis).
- MC simulation can be used mainly to estimate correction factors.

Field spectrometry: application and calibration

Model: creation and verification Examples of model application Conclusion Introduction Calibration: principles and procedures Calibration facility Straz pod Ralskem, Czech Republic

Calibration facility Straz pod Ralskem, Czech Republic

Surface standards

- PK, PU, P0 a PTh
- cylinder: Ø 1.9m, \$ 0.62m
- rectangular: P0 2x2x0.8m
- Well logging standards
 - KK, KU, KTh a K0
 - cylinder: Ø 1.4m, ‡ 1.2m
 - K0 1.5x1.5x1.8m
- Layered well logging
 - set of rings
 - ∅ 0.9m, ‡ 0.1m

Details:

http://www.gammastandard.com/





Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Model: creation and verification

- First version of the model
 - based on literature, on site measurements and taken samples
- First verification measurement (portable HPGe)
 ⇒ major adjustments needed
- Step by step adjusting the model to fit the verification measurements
 - major adjustments made in source distribution
 - minor adjustments in building material density
 - minor adjustments in geometry (floor thickness)
- Final verification measurements (scintillation detectors) \Rightarrow the model deviates from reality less than 10%

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Model: geometry

- Geometry based on literature and on site geometry measurements.
- Density and activity based on literature and samples taken on site (bricks, plaster, ground...)
- Some parameters had to be estimated and later adjusted (density of the calibration pads, activity of the pads...)
- Model includes: walls (divided into brick and plaster) S, N, W, E; floor, ground, all standards, small shielding wall and air inside.

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Model: geometry



Kamila Johnová GARRM 2023

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Model: geometry



Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Model: source distribution

- used "Dependent source" function of MCNP
- In one run only one of the three sources (K, U, Th) is generated in the whole model.



Figure: Uranium distribution in the model (ZY plane)

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Model: source distribution

- used "Dependent source" function of MCNP
- In one run one of the three radionuclides (K, U, Th) is generated in the whole model.



Figure: Uranium source distribution, XY plane.

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Model: source distribution

- used "Dependent source" function of MCNP
- In one run only one of the three sources (K, U, Th) is generated in the whole model.



Figure: Thorium source distribution - wall detail.

Nodel: geometry and source distribution nitial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Initial verification: experimental set up

- 15 verification points selected.
- Gamma spectra measured with 40% HPGe portable detector.
- Same situation simulated with previously verified model of the HPGe detector.
- Comparison (model vs. experiment) made for areas of 16 peaks K(1), U(8), Th (7).

⁴⁰K: 1460,8 keV
²³⁸U: 186,2; 295,2; 351,9; 609,3 ; 1120,3; 1238,1; 1764,5;
2204,2 keV
²³²Th: 238,6; 338,3; 583,2; 727,3; 911,2; 969,0; 2614,5 keV

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Final version the model: HPGe spectra



Kamila Johnová GARRM 2023

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Final version the model: HPGe spectra



Kamila Johnová GARRM 2023

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Final version the model: HPGe spectra



Kamila Johnová GARRM 2023

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Final verification

- Model accuracy tested inside and also outside previously verified region.
- Scintillation spectrometers used: Nal (3"x3"), CeBr (2"x2"), LaBr (2"x2").
- Same situations simulated with previously verified models of these detectors.

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Final verification: Testing the model up to 2 m above surface



Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Final verification: Testing the model up to 2 m above surface



Kamila Johnová GARRM 2023

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Final verification: Testing the model up to 2 m above surface



Kamila Johnová GARRM 2023

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Final verification Position in the centre of the facility

- CeBr detector on the ground in the centre of the facility.
- Low count rate measurement 30 minutes spectrum acquisition.

Table: Comparison of CPS for CeBr measurement on the ground in the centre of the facility.

	experiment	model	model/experiment
609 keV	0,518	0,549	1,06
1461 keV	0,277	0,298	0,93
1764 keV	0,033	0,036	1,10

Model: geometry and source distribution Initial verification and adjustments of the model Final verification of the model

Final verification Position in the centre of the facility



Kamila Johnová GARRM 2023

Custom detector spectra simulation Dose rate in air mapping Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Examples of model application

- Custom detector spectra simulation
- Dose rate in air mapping
- Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Custom detector spectra simulation Dose rate in air mapping Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Custom detector spectra simulation

- By adding a model of custom detector, a full gamma spectrum can be simulated in any position.
- Areas with a high field gradient may be prone to positioning error.
- Calculating the spectra can be useful in the preparatory phase of an experiment, e.g. for estimating required time of measurement etc.

Custom detector spectra simulation Dose rate in air mapping Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Spectrum simulation: HPGe above borehole standards



Kamila Johnová GARRM 2023

Custom detector spectra simulation Dose rate in air mapping Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Spectrum simulation: Nal(TI) at various positions



Kamila Johnová GARRM 2023

Custom detector spectra simulation **Dose rate in air mapping** Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Dose rate in air mapping

- Using Meshtally option it is possible to calculate 3D matrix of Dose Rate in air averaged over defined element of mesh (30x30x30 cm in following example).
- This option is good for getting an overall overview of the radiation field.
- It is necessary to choose a compromise between spatial resolution and calculation time requirement.





























Custom detector spectra simulation Dose rate in air mapping Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Function ICD on FT card (MCNP)

- allows to sort scored values based on source cell
- F5 tallies only
- source cells defined in custom bins

Example of definition: point detector 1m above PTh standard: f5:p 920 400 104 0 ft ICD fu5 20 22 23 24 17 25 111 11 112 121 12 122 ...

Custom detector spectra simulation Dose rate in air mapping Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Photon flux (2614 keV) above PTh Different building structures contribution



Custom detector spectra simulation Dose rate in air mapping Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Photon flux (2614 keV) above PTh Different building structures contribution



Custom detector spectra simulation Dose rate in air mapping Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Building structures contribution to measured spectra



Custom detector spectra simulation Dose rate in air mapping Building structures contribution to measured spectra

Building structures contribution to measured spectra







Kamila Johnová

GARRM 2023

Conclusion

- Model allows to describe radiation field inside the facility in various different ways
 - direct spectra calculation
 - dose rate mapping with custom spatial resolution
 - comparison of different building structures contribution to spectra at arbitrary point
- The deviation of the model from the real situation is within 10% relative error (depends on location).

Thank you for your attention

References



International Atomic Energy Agency. *Radioelement mapping*, Vienna, STI/PUB/1463. 2010. ISBN 978–92–0–106110–2.

Kerma in air

position	height [m]	kerma rate [nGy/h]		
		experiment	simulation	
PK	0.5	146 ± 7	138 ± 18	
PU	0.5	127 ± 6	124 ± 16	
P0	0.5	55 ± 3	49 ± 7	
PTh	0.5	180 ± 9	169 ± 22	
ΡK	1	117 ± 6	111 ± 14	
PU	1	104 ± 5	100 ± 13	
P0	1	68 ± 4	60 ± 7	
PTh	1	132 ± 7	121 ± 16	

Kerma rate in air

